

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

THIRTIETH DAY.

Thursday, 19th July 1962.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at One of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA, B.A., B.L.) in the Chair.

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given)

Formation of Sub-Committees of Gadag-Betigeri Municipality.

*Q.—42. Sri K. P. GADAG (Gadag).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that the formation of the new Sub-Committees of Gadag-Betigeri Municipal Borough was overdue;

(b) whether it is a fact that a requisition by some Councillors was given to the President to convene the meeting;

(c) whether it is a fact that a special General Body meeting was convened on 11th March 1962;

(d) whether it is a fact that the President adjourned the meeting held on 11th March 1962;

(e) whether they have made an enquiry in the matter?

A.—Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Minister for Municipal Administration).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) Yes.

(d) Yes.

(e) The Deputy Commissioner, Dharwar, has submitted his report and it is under consideration.

Sri K. P. GADAG.—May I know the period by which the formation of the new sub-committees was overdue?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—The sub-committee should have come into existence on 1-11-62 and it was actually constituted on 11-3-62.

Sri K. P. GADAG.—May I know whether the failure of the President to call the meeting in time amounts to the breach of any mandatory provision of the Act?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—The provision of the Act is that every committee shall be appointed for the official year. According to the provision of the Act, the committee should have come into existence by 1-11-62, but according to the information that I have by the official year the President has meant 1-4-62 and he has not chosen to call the meeting to constitute the sub-committee and when it was pointed out to him that the sub-committee does not relate to the official year but for one year, he called the meeting earlier.

Sri K. P. GADAG.—May I know whether the President adjourned the meeting without taking the sense of the House?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—The information is that there was some confusion and the President had to adjourn the meeting.

Sri K. P. GADAG.—Is it a fact that out of 33 Councillors who were present on that day, 18 remained and transacted the business of the day?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—That is the version of the 18 Councillors who remained after the President adjourned the meeting.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—What is it that the Deputy Commissioner expects the Government to consider?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—In the Report there will be several things. If my friend asks for any particular information, I can give him.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it the entire report or any part of it that is under consideration?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—For the present I may state that the matter regarding the validity of the proceedings is now under consideration.

Sri C. S. HULKOTI.—What is the report about the adjournment of the meeting held on the 11th March 1962?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I have already submitted that the President had to adjourn the meeting abruptly because there was some confusion. Later on, some 18 Councillors remained over and they have transacted the business.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know whether the Deputy Commissioner as a supervising authority over this Municipality has no power to take a decision by himself?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—There are so many matters in which the district local authority can take action, but usually they keep the Government informed.

Sri C. S. HULKOTI.—What is the nature of the confusion that took place in the meeting?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಮಾರಾ ಮಾರಿಗೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕಡಮೆ ಆಗಿದೆ.

Sri K. P. GADAG.—May I know whether the matter is still under the enquiry of any officer?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I have already submitted that the matter is being considered by the district local authority.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Was not the confusion due to the fact that the sub-committees were not formed in time?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—It is not for that. The conflict seems to have arisen regarding the correctness of the declaration of results.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಬ್ಬೇಗೌಡ.—ಡೆಪ್ಯೂಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟಿನ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸ ಬೇಕು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ನಾವೇನೂ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾವು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ತಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೆ. ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಲೆವೆರ್ ಅಥವಾ ರಚಿ ಡಿವಿಷನಲ್ ಕಮಿಷನರು, ಅವರು ಏನಾಯಿತು ಎಂದು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರನ್ನು ಕೇಳಬೇಕು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಏನು ನಮಜಾಯಿಷಿ ಪಡೆಯಬೇಕೋ ಅದನ್ನು ಪಡೆದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—If the confusion is due to the declaration of results, could the aggrieved party have not preferred an appeal provided for under the law to the appropriate authority?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—There is no regular appeal provided for this. These things are done by passing a resolution. That resolution is being attacked.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಬ್ಬೇಗೌಡ.—ಗಲಾಟೆ ನಡೆದು 6 ತಿಂಗಳು ಆಗಿದೆ. ಡೆಪ್ಯೂಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರು ನರಾ ರಕ್ಕೆ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ; ಅದು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಯಲ್ಲದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸ ಬೇಕು ಫೈನಲ್‌ನೇ ಆಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಅದು ಶೀಘ್ರದಲ್ಲೇ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Steps taken to prevent the discharge of poisonous waste water from the West Coast Paper Mills, Dandeli.

*Q.—190. **Sri B. P. KADAM (Karwar).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that poisonous waste water in huge quantity is discharged from the West Coast Mills, Dandeli;

(b) whether it is a fact that this poisonous water emits obnoxious smell which is highly injurious to public health in Dandeli and surrounding villages;

(c) whether it is a fact that the villagers from Donshivagur, Kegdal Bomnalli, Tatwal, etc., are rendered helpless on account of the river water being unfit for human or cattle consumption;

(d) whether it is a fact that there has been a constant public protest against the obnoxious and injurious smells discharged from the poisonous